

Large deviations of a forced velocity-jump process with a Hamilton-Jacobi approach

Nils Caillerie *

October 28, 2017

Abstract

We study the dispersion of a particle whose motion dynamics can be described by a forced velocity jump process. To investigate large deviations results, we study the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation of this process in the hyperbolic scaling $(t, x, v) \rightarrow (t/\varepsilon, x/\varepsilon, v)$ and then, perform a Hopf-Cole transform which gives us a kinetic equation on a potential. We prove the convergence of this potential to the solution of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The hamiltonian can have a C^1 singularity, as was previously observed in this kind of studies. This is a preliminary work before studying spreading results for more realistic processes.

Key-words: Kinetic equations, Hamilton-Jacobi equations, large deviations, perturbed test function method, Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process.

AMS Class. No: 35Q92, 45K05, 35D40, 35F21

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the dispersion in \mathbb{R}^d of a particle whose motion dynamics is described by the following piecewise deterministic Markov process (PDMP). During the so-called "run phase" (*i.e* the deterministic part), the particle is moving in \mathbb{R}^d and is submitted to a force whose intensity and direction are given by the vector Γ , which only depends on the instantaneous velocity of the particle. Therefore, its position \mathcal{X}_s and velocity \mathcal{V}_s at time s are given by the following system of ODEs

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathcal{X}}_s = \mathcal{V}_s, \\ \dot{\mathcal{V}}_s = \Gamma(\mathcal{V}_s). \end{cases}$$

We shall call the measure space (V, ν) the set of admissible velocities. After a random exponential time with mean 1, a "tumble" occurs: the particle chooses a new velocity at random on the space V , independently from its last velocity. The law of the velocity redistribution process is given by the probability density function M . The particle enters a new running phase which will again last for a random exponential time of parameter 1, and so on.

The Kolmogorov equation of this process is the following conservative kinetic equation:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v (\Gamma f) = M(v)\rho - f, \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times V, \quad (1.1) \quad \{\text{eq1}\}$$

*Department of mathematics and statistics, Georgetown University, Saint Mary's Hall, 3700 O Street NW, Washington, DC 20057, United States of America. E-mail: nc691@georgetown.edu

where ρ is the macroscopic density of f :

$$\rho(t, x) := \int_V f(t, x, v) d\nu(v).$$

We assume that $\Gamma d\vec{S}$ is the null measure, where the vector $\vec{S}(v)$ is the normal vector to ∂V at point $v \in \partial V$. This condition guarantees that the total mass of the system is conserved thanks to Ostrogradsky's Theorem. We also assume that V is a compact manifold of \mathbb{R}^d with a (possibly empty) boundary. In the case where the boundary of V is not empty, for every function g from V to \mathbb{R} , we define (when possible) $\Gamma \cdot \nabla_v g$ on ∂V as follows:

$$(\Gamma \cdot \nabla_v g)(w) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} g(\gamma_s) \right|_{s=0},$$

for γ in V such that $\gamma(0) = w$ and $\dot{\gamma}_s = \Gamma(\gamma_s)$ for all s in $[-\delta, \delta]$. For a function G from V to \mathbb{R}^d , we define $\text{div}_v(\Gamma G)$ on ∂V (when possible) as

$$\text{div}_v(\Gamma G)(w) = \sum_i (\Gamma \nabla_v G_i)(w).$$

The function $M \in C^0(V)$ is assumed to satisfy

$$\min_{v \in V} M(v) > 0. \quad (1.2) \quad \{\{\text{infM}\}\}$$

The so-called *force term* Γ is a lipschitz-continuous function of v . We assume that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$1 + \text{div}\Gamma(v) \geq \alpha > 0, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (1.3) \quad \{\{\text{divgamma}\}\}$$

We introduce the flow of $-\Gamma$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\phi}_s^v = -\Gamma(\phi_s^v), \\ \phi_0^v = v, \end{cases} \quad (1.4) \quad \{\{\text{flowgamma}\}\}$$

We assume that it satisfies a Poincaré-Bendixson condition in the sense that, for all $v \in V$, the limit set of orbit of v is either a zero of $-\Gamma$ or a periodic orbit of $-\Gamma$. In other words,

$$\forall v \in V, \quad \exists w_0 \in V \text{ and } T \geq 0 \text{ such that } \phi_T^{w_0} = w_0 \text{ and } \bigcap_{t>0} \overline{\{\phi_s^v, s \geq t\}} = \{\phi_s^{w_0}, 0 \leq s \leq T\}. \quad (1.5) \quad \{\{\text{Poincare}\}\}$$

Finally, we assume the following mixing property:

$$\forall v \in V, \exists w(v) \in V \text{ such that } \forall F \in C^0(V, \mathbb{R}), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F(\phi_s^v) ds = F(w). \quad (1.6) \quad \{\{\text{mixing}\}\}$$

Note that, thanks to the Poincaré-Bendixson condition (1.5), we already get the existence of a $w(v)$ in the convex hull of V such that $\frac{1}{t} \int_{[0,t]} F(\phi_s^v) ds \rightarrow F(w)$. Here, we assume furthermore that this "representative" of v can be chosen in V , even when V is not convex.

In order to study large deviations results for this process, we use the method of geometric optics [16, 18]. We study the rescaled function $f^\varepsilon(t, x, v) := f\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, v\right)$, which satisfies

$$\partial_t f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla f^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{div}_v(\Gamma f^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (M(v)\rho^\varepsilon - f^\varepsilon), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times V.$$

The function f^ε quickly relaxes towards \tilde{M} , the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_v \left(\Gamma(v) \tilde{M}(v) \right) = M(v) \int_V \tilde{M}(v') d\nu(v') - \tilde{M}(v), \\ \int_V \tilde{M}(v') d\nu(v') = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.7) \quad \{\{tm\}\}$$

We introduce the following WKB ansatz

$$\varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, v) := -\varepsilon \log \left(\frac{f^\varepsilon(t, x, v)}{\tilde{M}} \right), \quad \text{or equivalently, } f^\varepsilon(t, x, v) = \tilde{M} e^{-\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, v)}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Then, φ^ε satisfies

$$\partial_t \varphi^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla \varphi^\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon = \frac{M}{\tilde{M}} \int_V \tilde{M}(v') \left(1 - e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi'^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \right) d\nu(v'), \quad (1.8) \quad \{\{main\}\}$$

where (here and until the end) φ'^ε stands for $\varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, v')$.

The main result of this paper is that $(\varphi^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ converges to the viscosity solution of some Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Motivations and earlier related works

The motivation of this work comes from the study of concentration waves in bacterial colonies of *Escherichia coli*. Kinetic models have been proposed to study the Run & Tumble motion of the bacterium at the mesoscopic scale in [1, 23]. More recently, it has been established that these kinetic models are more accurate than their diffusion approximations to describe the speed of a colony of bacteria in a channel of nutrient [22]. This has raised some interest on the study of front propagation in kinetic models driven by chemotactic effect [13] but also by growth effect [4, 5, 9]. Our goal is to explore those studies further, by considering kinetic equations with a force term, in view of studying propagation of biological species with an effect of the environment (one could think of fluid resistance of water for bacteria, for example). A physically relevant force term may not satisfy all the assumptions of the present paper, mostly because of (1.3), but our result and methods can be adapted for different force terms. Therefore, our study should be considered as a preliminary work before studying more realistic models.

When $\Gamma \equiv 0$, a convergence result for $(\varphi^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ already exists. The question has originally been solved in [6] by Bouin and Calvez who proved convergence of $(\varphi^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ to the solution of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation with an implicitly defined hamiltonian. Their result, however, only holds in dimension 1, since the implicit formulation of the hamiltonian may not have a solution. It was then generalized to higher dimensions by the author in [11]. The proof relied on the establishment of uniform (with respect to ε) *a priori* bounds on the potential φ^ε , which may not hold in our situation. If one requires that $\operatorname{div} \Gamma = 0$, the proof of [11] can be adapted to our situation since one can establish those *a priori* bounds (see [12], Chapter 3).

When the velocity set is unbounded and $\Gamma \equiv 0$, one observes an acceleration of the front of propagation, which highlights the difference between the kinetic model and its diffusion approximation. Due to this acceleration, the hyperbolic scaling is no longer the right one to follow the front. In the special case where M is gaussian and for the scaling $(t, x, v) \rightarrow (\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{3/2}}, \frac{v}{\varepsilon^{1/2}})$ the Hamilton-Jacobi limit was performed by Bouin, Calvez, Grenier and Nadin in [7].

As was previously mentioned, spreading can also be driven by growth effect. Propagation in a similar model, without the force term but with a reaction-term of KPP-type was investigated

by Bouin, Calvez and Nadin in [9]. They established the existence of travelling wave solutions in the one-dimensional case. Interestingly enough, the speed of propagation they established differed from the KPP speed obtained in the diffusion approximation. Their result was generalized to the higher velocity dimension case by Bouin and the author in [5]. In the present paper, we will use the method of geometric optics [16, 18], the half-relaxed limits method of Barles and Perthame [3] and the perturbed test function method of Evans [15] in a similar fashion as in [5, 10]. The Hamilton-Jacobi framework can also be used in other various situations involving population dynamics (not necessarily structured by velocity) [8, 10, 19–21].

Main result

To identify a candidate for the limit, let us assume formally that this limit $\varphi^0 := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi^\varepsilon$ is independent of the velocity variable and that the convergence speed is of order 1 in ε , which would mean that there exists a function η such that

$$\varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, v) = \varphi^0(t, x) + \varepsilon\eta(t, x, v) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (1.9) \quad \{\{\text{hilbert}\}\}$$

Plugging (1.9) into Equation (1.8), we get formally at the order ε^0

$$\partial_t \varphi^0 + v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi^0 + \Gamma \cdot \nabla_v \eta = \frac{M}{\tilde{M}} \int_V \tilde{M}' (1 - e^{\eta - \eta'}) d\nu(v'). \quad (1.10) \quad \{\{\text{prespec}\}\}$$

When t and x are fixed, (1.10) is a differential equation in the variable v . Let us set $p := \nabla_x \varphi^0(t, x)$, $H := -\partial_t \varphi^0(t, x)$ and $Q(v) := e^{-\eta(v)}$. Then, Q satisfies

$$\begin{cases} HQ(v) = \left(v \cdot p - \frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} \right) Q(v) - \Gamma(v) \cdot \nabla_v Q(v) + \frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} \int_V \tilde{M}' Q' d\nu(v'), & v \in V, \\ Q > 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.11) \quad \{\{\text{probspec}\}\}$$

For fixed p , this is a spectral problem where Q and H are viewed as an eigenvector and the associated eigenvalue. We will discuss the resolution of this spectral problem in Section 2. This resolution motivates the introduction of the following hamiltonian.

Definition 1.1. For all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\mathcal{H}(p) := \inf \left\{ H \in \mathbb{R}, \int_V \tilde{M}(v') Q_{p,H}(v') d\nu(v') \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (1.12) \quad \{\{\text{hamiltonia}\}\}$$

where

$$Q_{p,H}(v) := \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp \left(- \int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + H - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) ds \right) dt, \quad (1.13) \quad \{\{\text{defQ}\}\}$$

and ϕ is the flow of $-\Gamma$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\phi}_s^v = -\Gamma(\phi_s^v), \\ \phi_0^v = v. \end{cases} \quad (1.14) \quad \{\{\text{flow}\}\}$$

As in [14], this spectral problem may not have a solution in $C^1(V)$ and one may need to solve it in the set of positive measures (one can refer to [11] where a similar situation occurs). Therefore, let us define the so-called singular set of M and Γ , that is the set where the spectral problem has no solution in $C^1(V)$:

Definition 1.2. We call "Singular set of M and Γ " the set

$$\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma) := \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \left\{ H \in \mathbb{R}, 1 < \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p,H} d\nu(v') < +\infty \right\} = \emptyset \right\}. \quad (1.15) \quad \{\text{sing}\}$$

Let us now state our main result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let us assume that (1.2), (1.3), (1.5) and (1.6) hold and that \tilde{M} satisfies (1.7). Let φ^ε satisfy Equation (1.8). Let us assume furthermore that the initial condition is well-prepared: $\varphi^\varepsilon(0, x, v) := \varphi_0(x) \geq 0$. Then, the function φ^ε converges uniformly locally toward some function φ^0 which is independent from v . Moreover, φ^0 is the viscosity solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varphi^0 + \mathcal{H}(\nabla_x \varphi^0) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \varphi^0(0, \cdot) = \varphi_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.16) \quad \{\text{HJ}\}$$

where \mathcal{H} is defined as in Definition 1.1.

Remark 1.4. *The sufficient conditions on \mathcal{H} that guarantee the uniqueness of the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation (1.16) will be proven in Proposition 2.10.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe how we obtain the hamiltonian and prove some results that we will use later on. In particular, we solve the spectral problem (1.11). Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2 Identification of the hamiltonian

2.1 Positivity and boundedness of \tilde{M}

As a first step, we will prove that $0 < \tilde{M} < +\infty$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let us assume that (1.2) and (1.3) hold and let \tilde{M} satisfy (1.7). Then, $\min_{v \in V} \tilde{M}(v) > 0$.*

Proof. Let v_{\min} be the point where \tilde{M} reaches its minimum. Suppose v_{\min} is in the interior of V . Then, $\nabla_v \tilde{M}(v_{\min}) = 0$, thus

$$\tilde{M}(v_{\min}) \operatorname{div} \Gamma(v_{\min}) = M(v_{\min}) - \tilde{M}(v_{\min}),$$

which implies

$$\tilde{M}(v_{\min}) = \frac{M(v_{\min})}{1 + \operatorname{div} \Gamma(v_{\min})} \geq \frac{\min M}{1 + \operatorname{div} \Gamma(v_{\min})} > 0.$$

If $v_{\min} \in \partial V$, we can conclude likewise. Indeed, if $\Gamma(v_{\min}) = 0$, then the result is trivial. If $v_{\min} \in \partial V$ and $\Gamma(v_{\min}) \neq 0$, since $\Gamma(v) \cdot d\vec{S}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in \partial V$, there exists $v_0 \in V$, $v_1 \in V$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_s^{v_{\min}} \in V, & \forall s \in [-\delta, \delta], \\ \phi_{-\delta}^{v_{\min}} = v_0, \\ \phi_{\delta}^{v_{\min}} = v_1. \end{cases}$$

The extremal property of (v_{\min}) now implies that

$$\Gamma(v_{\min}) \cdot \nabla_v \tilde{M}(v_{\min}) = - \left. \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{M}(\phi_s^{v_{\min}}) \right|_{s=0} = 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let us assume that (1.3) holds. Then,*

$$\sup_{v \in V} \tilde{M}(v) < +\infty.$$

Proof. We use the same ideas as in Lemma 2.1 to prove that

$$\tilde{M}(v_{\max}) = \frac{M(v_{\max})}{1 + \operatorname{div} \Gamma(v_{\max})} \leq \frac{\|M\|_{\infty}}{\alpha},$$

thanks to (1.3).

□

2.2 The spectral problem

Here, we discuss the resolution of the spectral problem, that is: for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, find H and a function $Q > 0$ such that

$$HQ(v) = \left(v \cdot p - \frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} \right) Q(v) - \Gamma(v) \cdot \nabla_v Q(v) + \frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} \int_V \tilde{M}' Q' d\nu(v')$$

holds, for all $v \in V$.

To find such a solution, we use the method of characteristics: let us define ϕ as the flow of $-\Gamma$ (see (1.14)). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \left(Q(\phi_s^v) \exp \left(- \int_0^s \left(\frac{M(\phi_\sigma^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_\sigma^v)} + H - \phi_\sigma^v \cdot p \right) d\sigma \right) \right) \\ &= -\exp \left(- \int_0^s \left(\frac{M(\phi_\sigma^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_\sigma^v)} + H - \phi_\sigma^v \cdot p \right) d\sigma \right) \left[\left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + H - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) Q(\phi_s^v) + \Gamma(\phi_s^v) \cdot \nabla_v Q(\phi_s^v) \right] \\ &= -\exp \left(- \int_0^s \left(\frac{M(\phi_\sigma^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_\sigma^v)} + H - \phi_\sigma^v \cdot p \right) d\sigma \right) \frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} \int_V \tilde{M}' Q' d\nu(v'). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left(- \int_0^s \left(\frac{M(\phi_\sigma^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_\sigma^v)} + H - \phi_\sigma^v \cdot p \right) d\sigma \right) = 0.$$

Then, integrating between 0 and $+\infty$ gives

$$Q(v) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp \left(- \int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + H - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) ds \right) dt \int_V \tilde{M}' Q' d\nu(v'). \quad (2.17) \quad \{\text{vecteurproc}\}$$

Integrating Equation (2.17) against \tilde{M} finally gives

$$1 = \int_V \tilde{M}(v) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp \left(- \int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + H - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) ds \right) dt d\nu(v).$$

In other terms, solving the spectral problem is equivalent to finding $H \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p,H} d\nu(v') = 1$ holds, where

$$Q_{p,H}(v) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp \left(- \int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + H - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) ds \right) dt.$$

It is straight-forward to check that $H \mapsto \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p,H} d\nu(v')$ is monotonically decreasing and continuous. As a result, if there exists H such that $\int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p,H} = 1$, then such H is unique. Let us recall the definition of our hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}(p) := \inf \left\{ H \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \int \tilde{M}(v') Q_{p,H}(v') d\nu(v') \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Resolution of the spectral problem in $C^1(V)$*

- (i) *If $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)^c$, then $\int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} d\nu(v') = 1$, i.e. the couple $(Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}, \mathcal{H}(p))$ is a solution to the spectral problem (1.11).*
- (ii) *If $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$, then $\sup_{v \in V} Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} = +\infty$, i.e. there is no solution of the spectral problem (1.11) in $C^1(V)$.*

{resolution}

Proof. (i) Let $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)^c$. By definition, there exists $H_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, H_0} d\nu' > 1$. By continuity and monotonicity of $H \mapsto \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, H} d\nu'$, this means that, for all $H_0 < H < \mathcal{H}(p)$,

$$+\infty > \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, H_0} d\nu' > \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, H} d\nu' > 1,$$

the last inequality being true by definition since $H < \mathcal{H}(p)$ (recall (1.12)). Finally,

$$1 \geq \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} d\nu' = \lim_{H \searrow \mathcal{H}(p)} \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p, H} d\nu' \geq 1,$$

which proves (i).

(ii) Suppose that $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$ and that $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}$ is bounded. We let $\delta > 0$. Then, $\sup_{v \in V} Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta} = +\infty$. Indeed, in the opposite case $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta}$ is bounded and hence, integrable on V which is not possible since $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$. Where defined, the function $\mathcal{Z}_\delta := Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta} - Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta - v \cdot p \right) \mathcal{Z}_\delta + \Gamma \cdot \nabla_v \mathcal{Z}_\delta = \delta Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} \leq \delta \|Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}\|_\infty.$$

By the method of characteristics, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_\delta(v) &\leq \delta \|Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}\|_\infty \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta - \phi_s^v \cdot p\right) ds\right) dt \\ &= \delta \|Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}\|_\infty \frac{\tilde{M}(v)}{M(v)} Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v) \\ &\leq \delta \|Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}\|_\infty \frac{\max \tilde{M}}{\min M} Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta}(v), \end{aligned}$$

for all v where $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta}(v) < +\infty$. Hence,

$$Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v) \geq \left(1 - \delta \|Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}\|_\infty \frac{\max \tilde{M}}{\min M}\right) Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta}(v).$$

Since $Q_{\mathcal{H}(p)}$ is bounded and $\sup_{v \in V} Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p) - \delta} = +\infty$, this is absurd. □

We now discuss the resolution of the spectral problem in the set of positive measures. {utile}

Lemma 2.4. *Let $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)^c$ and $v \in V \setminus \mathcal{D}(Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)})$, i.e. $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v) = +\infty$. Thanks to the Poincaré-Bendixson condition (1.5), either ϕ_t^v converges to some $v_0 \in V$ or the limit set of $(\phi_t^v)_t$ is the periodic orbit of some $v_0 \in V$. Either way, $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v_0) = +\infty$.*

Proof. The result holds since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^{v_0} \cdot p \right) ds,$$

which implies that

$$\exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^v \cdot p\right) ds\right) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^{v_0} \cdot p\right) ds\right).$$

□

{utile2}

Lemma 2.5. *Let now v_0 be defined as in Lemma 2.4 and let $w(v_0) \in V$ be the vector defined after the mixing property (1.6). Then, $\frac{M(w)}{\tilde{M}(w)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - w \cdot p = 0$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $\frac{M(w)}{\tilde{M}(w)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - v \cdot p = \delta > 0$. Then,

$$\exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^{v_0} \cdot p\right) ds\right) \underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} e^{-\delta t},$$

hence $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v_0) = \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{M(\phi_t^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^{v_0})} \exp\left(-\int_{[0, t]} \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^{v_0} \cdot p\right) ds\right) dt < +\infty$, which is absurd after Lemma 2.4. \square

{inthesense}

Proposition 2.6. *Resolution of the problem in the set of positive measures.*

Let $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$. Let v_0 be defined after Lemma 2.4.

(i) *If v_0 is such that $\Gamma(v_0) = 0$ and $\frac{M(v_0)}{\tilde{M}(v_0)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - v_0 \cdot p = 0$, then the measure $\mu := \delta_{v_0}$, where δ_{v_0} is the dirac mass at v_0 satisfies*

$$\left(\frac{M}{\tilde{M}} + \mathcal{H}(p) - v \cdot p\right) Q + \Gamma \cdot \nabla_v Q = 0 \quad (2.18) \quad \text{{distributi}}$$

in the sense of distributions.

(ii) *If $v_0 \in V$ belongs to a periodic orbit of period T and $\phi_t^{v_0} \in V \setminus \mathcal{D}(Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)})$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, then the uniform probability measure μ on the set $\{\phi_t^{v_0}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ satisfies (2.18) in the sense of distributions.*

(iii) *Either way, the positive measure $\tilde{\mu} := Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} dv + \left(1 - \int_V Q'_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} dv'\right) \mu$ associated with the eigenvalue $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is a solution to the spectral problem (1.11).*

Proof. (i) This is trivial since

$$\left(\frac{M(v_0)}{\tilde{M}(v_0)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_t^{v_0} \cdot p\right) \psi(v_0) + \Gamma(v_0) \cdot \nabla_v \psi(v_0) = 0 \times \psi(v_0) + 0 \cdot \nabla_v \psi(v_0) = 0,$$

for all $\psi \in C^\infty(V)$.

(ii) Let $\psi \in C^\infty(V)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{\phi_t^{v_0}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}} \left(\frac{M'}{\tilde{M}'} - \mathcal{H}(p) - v' \cdot p\right) \psi' d\mu' + \int_{\{\phi_t^{v_0}, 0 \leq t \leq T\}} \Gamma' \cdot \nabla_v \psi' d\mu' \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\frac{M(\phi_t^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_t^{v_0} \cdot p\right) \psi(\phi_t^{v_0}) dt + \int_0^T \Gamma(\phi_t^{v_0}) \cdot \nabla_v \psi(\phi_t^{v_0}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\int_0^T \Gamma(\phi_t^{v_0}) \cdot \nabla_v \psi(\phi_t^{v_0}) dt = - \int_0^T \dot{\phi}_t^{v_0} \cdot \nabla_v \psi(\phi_t^{v_0}) dt = [\psi(\phi_t^{v_0})]_{t=0}^{t=T} = 0,$$

since ϕ^{v_0} is periodic with period T . Moreover, since

$$\frac{1}{nT} \int_0^{nT} \left(\frac{M(\phi_t^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_t^{v_0} \right) dt = \frac{n}{nT} \int_0^T \left(\frac{M(\phi_t^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_t^{v_0} \right) dt,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\frac{1}{nT} \int_0^{nT} \left(\frac{M(\phi_t^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_t^{v_0} \right) dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M(w)}{\tilde{M}(w)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - w \cdot p,$$

we finally get

$$\int_0^T \left(\frac{M(\phi_t^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^{v_0})} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_t^{v_0} \cdot p \right) \psi(\phi_t^{v_0}) dt = T \left(\frac{M(w)}{\tilde{M}(w)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - w \cdot p \right) \psi(w) = 0,$$

which ends the proof.

(iii) This is straight-forward since μ solves Equation (2.18) and since

$$\left(\frac{M}{\tilde{M}} + \mathcal{H}(p) - v \cdot p \right) Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} + \Gamma \cdot \nabla_v Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)} = \frac{M}{\tilde{M}},$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{D}(Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)})$. □

Remark 2.7. *We do not necessarily have uniqueness of the spectral problem (1.11) in the set of positive measures as there might exist several points in $V \setminus \mathcal{D}(Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)})$, which do not belong to the same orbit. However, we will only use the solutions of Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.6 in the rest of the paper. We will use the perturbed test function method of Evans [15] to build a sub- and a super-solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.16). When $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)^c$, we will use the C^1 solution of Proposition 2.3 to build the perturbed test function in question. It is worth mentioning that when $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$, we will use the solution in the set of positive measures given by Proposition 2.6. However, we will only use the regular part $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}$ in the super-solution procedure, whereas we will only use the singular part μ in the sub-solution procedure.*

2.3 Examples

Such a hamiltonian was already studied in a less general setting. Here are two examples taken from [6, 11] and [12].

Example 2.8. *The special case $\Gamma \equiv 0$.*

Suppose that V is a compact set such that 0 belongs to the interior of the convex hull of V . In this case, it is straight-forward to check that M is a solution to (1.7) hence $\tilde{M} = M$. Moreover, $\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \int_V \frac{M(v')}{\mu(p) - v \cdot p} \leq 1 \right\}$, where $\mu(p) := \max_{v \in V} \{v \cdot p\}$. The hamiltonian is then defined by:

$$\int_V \frac{M(v)}{1 + \mathcal{H}(p) - v \cdot p} d\nu(v) = 1, \quad \text{if } p \notin \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma), \tag{2.19} \quad \text{\{\{bouinvalve\}\}}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(p) = \mu(p) - 1, \quad \text{if } p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma). \tag{2.20} \quad \text{\{\{caillerie\}\}}$$

When $V = [-1, 1]$ and $M \equiv \frac{1}{2}$, then $\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{H}(p) = \frac{p - \tanh p}{\tanh p}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

One can refer to [6, 11] for more details. Let us emphasize that the hamiltonian (2.19)-(2.20) is consistent with ours. Indeed, when $\Gamma \equiv 0$, then $\tilde{M} \equiv M$ and $\phi_s^v = v$, for all s , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \tilde{M}(v) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^v \cdot p\right) ds\right) dt d\nu(v) \\ = \int_V M(v) \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(v)}{M(v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - v \cdot p\right) ds\right) dt d\nu(v) \\ = \int_V \frac{M(v)}{1 + \mathcal{H}(p) - v \cdot p} d\nu(v). \end{aligned}$$

It is also straightforward to check that the hamiltonian from [6, 11] and ours coincide on $\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$.

Example 2.9. Let $d = 3$, V be the unit sphere that we parametrize with the usual spherical coordinates: $V = \{(\theta, \varphi) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, \pi]\}$, $v(\theta, \varphi) := (\sin(\varphi)\cos(\theta), \sin(\varphi)\sin(\theta), \cos\varphi)$ and let M depend only on φ and $\Gamma(\theta, \varphi) = (\sin\varphi, 0)$. If $p \notin \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$, then $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is implicitly defined by

$$\int_V M(\varphi) \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\int_0^t (1 + \mathcal{H}(p) - v(\theta - s, \varphi) \cdot p) ds\right) dt \frac{\sin(\varphi) d\theta d\varphi}{4\pi} = 1,$$

and if $p \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$, then $\mathcal{H}(p) = |p \cdot e_3| - 1$, where $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$.

One can find a proof of this result in [12], Chapter 3. Let us emphasize that the addition of the force term is a singular perturbation in our Hamilton-Jacobi framework since the hamiltonian of Example 2.9 is different, at least on $\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$, from the one obtained when $\Gamma \equiv 0$.

2.4 Properties of the hamiltonian

Proposition 2.10. *The hamiltonian has the following properties:*

- (i) $0 \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)^c$ and $\mathcal{H}(0) = 0$.
- (ii) \mathcal{H} is continuous on \mathbb{R}^d and C^1 on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$.
- (iii) \mathcal{H} is lipschitz-continuous.

Proof. (i) This result is trivial once one notices that

$$\int_V \tilde{M}(v) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} ds\right) dt d\nu(v) = \int_V \tilde{M}(v) \frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} d\nu(v) = 1.$$

(ii) On $\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)^c$, the function \mathcal{H} is implicitly defined by the relation

$$\int_V \tilde{M}(v) Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v) d\nu(v) = 1. \quad (2.21) \quad \{\text{implicit1}\}$$

On $\text{Sing}(\mathring{M}, \Gamma)$, $\mathcal{H}(p)$ is implicitly defined by the relation

$$\int_V \tilde{M}(v) Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v) d\nu(v) - \max_{H \in \mathfrak{B}(p)} \left\{ \int_V \tilde{M}(v) Q_{p, H}(v) d\nu(v) \right\} = 0, \quad (2.22) \quad \{\text{implicit2}\}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}(p) = \left\{ H \in \mathbb{R}, \int_V \tilde{M}(v) Q_{p,H}(v) d\nu(v) < +\infty \right\}$. Hence, by the implicit function Theorem, \mathcal{H} is C^1 on $\text{Sing}(\tilde{M}, \Gamma) \cup \text{Sing}(p)^c = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$. Moreover, since for all $p \in \partial\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$,

$$\max_{H \in \mathfrak{B}(p)} \left\{ \int_V \tilde{M}(v) Q_{p,H}(v) d\nu(v) \right\} = 1,$$

we also conclude that \mathcal{H} is continuous on \mathbb{R}^d .

(iii) Differentiating (2.21) and (2.22) with respect to p and recalling (1.13), we get for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$,

$$\int_V \tilde{M}(v) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \left(\int_0^t (\nabla \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^v) ds \right) \exp \left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - \phi_s^v \cdot p \right) ds \right) dt d\nu(v) = 0.$$

Hence, $\|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_\infty \leq \sup_{v \in V} |v|$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \partial\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$, from which we deduce that \mathcal{H} is lipschitz-continuous. \square

3 Convergence to the Hamilton-Jacobi limit

3.1 A priori estimates

Proposition 3.1. *Let us assume that (1.2) and (1.3) hold and that \tilde{M} satisfies (1.7). Let φ^ε satisfy Equation (1.8). Let us assume that the initial condition is well-prepared: $\varphi^\varepsilon(0, x, v) = \varphi_0(x) \geq 0$. Then, φ^ε is uniformly bounded with respect to x, v , and ε . More precisely, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$0 \leq \varphi^\varepsilon(t, \cdot, \cdot) \leq \|\varphi_0\|_\infty + \frac{\max M}{\min \tilde{M}} T \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. Let us recall that, under assumptions (1.2) and (1.3), the results of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 hold.

Let $(\mathcal{X}^\varepsilon, \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon)$ be the characteristics associated with (1.8):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathcal{X}}_{s,t}^{x,v} = \mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v}, \\ \mathcal{X}_{t,t}^{x,v} = x, \\ \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{s,t}^{x,v} = \frac{\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v})}{\varepsilon}, \\ \mathcal{V}_{t,t}^{x,v} = v. \end{cases}$$

Here, we dropped the ε for readability reasons. Using the method of characteristics, we get the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, v) &= \varphi_0(\mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{x,v}) \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{M(\mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v})}{\tilde{M}(\mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v})} \int_V \tilde{M}(v') \left(1 - \exp \left(\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon(s, \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{x,v}, \mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v}) - \varphi^\varepsilon(s, \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{x,v}, v')}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) d\nu(v') ds \\ &\leq \varphi_0(\mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{x,v}) + \int_0^t \frac{M(\mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v})}{\tilde{M}(\mathcal{V}_{s,t}^{x,v})} \int_V \tilde{M}(v') d\nu(v') ds \\ &\leq \|\varphi_0\|_\infty + \int_0^T \frac{\max M}{\min \tilde{M}} \int_V \tilde{M}(v') d\nu(v') ds = \|\varphi_0\|_\infty + \frac{\max M}{\min \tilde{M}} T, \end{aligned}$$

so we have an upper bound on φ^ε .

We get the lower bound by noticing that 0 trivially satisfies (1.8). □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this Section, we prove Theorem 1.3 using the half-relaxed limits method of Barles and Perthame [3] in the same spirit as in [5]. Additionally, we use the method of the perturbed test function of Evans [15] using the same ideas as in [5, 6, 11].

Thanks to Proposition 3.1, the sequence $(\varphi^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in L^∞ with respect to ε . We can thus define its lower and upper semi continuous envelopes:

$$\varphi^*(t, x, v) = \limsup_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ (s, y, w) \rightarrow (t, x, v)}} \varphi^\varepsilon(s, y, w), \quad \varphi_*(t, x, v) = \liminf_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ (s, y, w) \rightarrow (t, x, v)}} \varphi^\varepsilon(s, y, w). \quad (3.24) \quad \{\text{envelopes}\}$$

We will prove that φ^* and φ_* are respectively a sub- and a super-solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In order to do that, we need to prove that neither functions depend on the velocity variable. For this, we will use a similar proof to [5]. We write it here for the sake of self-containedness. \{\indep\}

Lemma 3.2. *Both φ^* and φ_* are constant with respect to the velocity variable on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. Let $(t^0, x^0, v^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d \times V$ and $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d \times V)$ be a test function such that $\varphi^* - \psi$ has a strict local maximum at (t^0, x^0, v^0) . Then, there exists a sequence $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ such that $\varphi^\varepsilon - \psi$ attains its maximum at $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ and such that $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) \rightarrow (t^0, x^0, v^0)$. Thus, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) = \varphi^*(t, x, v)$. Moreover, at point $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$, we have:

$$\partial_t \psi + v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \frac{\Gamma(v^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \psi = \frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)} \int_V \tilde{M}(v') \left(1 - e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi^\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon}} \right) d\nu(v').$$

From this, and using the fact that

$$0 < \min M \leq M \leq \max M < +\infty,$$

$$0 < \min \tilde{M} \leq \tilde{M} \leq \max \tilde{M} < +\infty,$$

we deduce that $\varepsilon \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v')}{\varepsilon}} d\nu(v')$ is uniformly bounded for all $V' \subset V$. By the Jensen inequality,

$$\varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon |V'|_{\tilde{M}}} \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v')) d\nu(v') \right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|V'|_{\tilde{M}}} \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v')}{\varepsilon}} d\nu(v'),$$

where $|V'|_{\tilde{M}} := \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') d\nu(v')$. We deduce that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v')) d\nu(v') \leq 0$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\varphi^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(v')) d\nu(v') &= \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') [(\varphi^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - \psi(v^\varepsilon)) - (\varphi^\varepsilon(v') - \psi(v')) + (\psi(v^\varepsilon) - \psi(v'))] d\nu(v') \\
&= \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') [(\varphi^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - \psi(v^\varepsilon)) - (\varphi^\varepsilon(v') - \psi(v'))] d\nu(v') \\
&\quad + \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\psi(v^\varepsilon) - \psi(v')) d\nu(v')
\end{aligned}$$

We can thus use the Fatou Lemma, together with $-\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v') \geq -\varphi^*(t^0, x^0, v')$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') d\nu(v') \right) \varphi^*(v^0) - \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') \varphi^*(v') d\nu(v') &= \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\varphi^*(v^0) - \varphi^*(v')) d\nu(v') \\
&\leq \int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\varphi^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(v')) d\nu(v') \\
&\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\varphi^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(v')) d\nu(v') \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{V'} \tilde{M}(v') (\varphi^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon) - \varphi^\varepsilon(v')) d\nu(v') \right) \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

We shall deduce, since the latter is true for any $|V'|$ that

$$\varphi^*(t^0, x^0, v^0) \leq \inf_V \varphi^*(t^0, x^0, \cdot)$$

and thus φ^* is constant in velocity.

To prove that φ_* is constant with respect to the velocity variable, we use the same technique with a test function ψ such that $\varphi^\varepsilon - \psi$ has a local strict minimum at (t^0, x^0, v^0) . \square

We shall now prove the following fact

Proposition 3.3. *Let φ^ε be a solution of (1.8) and let φ^* and φ_* be defined by (3.24).*

(i) *The function φ_* is a viscosity super-solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.16) on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^n$.*

(ii) *The function φ^* is a viscosity sub-solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.16) on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. (i) Let ψ be a test function such that $\varphi_* - \psi$ has a local minimum at point $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We set $p^0 := \nabla_x \psi(t^0, x^0)$. For all $H \geq \mathcal{H}(p^0)$, let us define $\psi_H^\varepsilon := \psi + \varepsilon \eta_H$, where $\eta_H := -\log(Q_{p^0, H})$ and

$$Q_{p^0, H}(v) := \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{M(\phi_t^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_t^v)} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \left(\frac{M(\phi_s^v)}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^v)} + H - \phi_s^v \cdot p^0\right) ds\right) dt, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (3.25)$$

For all $H > \mathcal{H}(p^0)$, by construction of η_H , we have

$$\int_V \tilde{M}' e^{-\eta_H} d\nu(v') = \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p^0, H} d\nu(v') < \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p^0, \mathcal{H}(p^0)} d\nu(v') = 1, \quad \text{if } p^0 \notin \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma),$$

or

$$\int_V \tilde{M}' e^{-\eta'_H} d\nu(v') = \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p^0, H} d\nu(v') < \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p^0, \mathcal{H}(p^0)} d\nu(v') \leq 1, \quad \text{if } p^0 \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma).$$

Moreover, $Q_{p^0, H} \in C^1(V)$ and

$$Q_{p^0, H} \left(\frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)} + H - v \cdot p^0 \right) + \Gamma \cdot \nabla_v Q_{p^0, H} = \frac{M(v)}{\tilde{M}(v)}, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (3.26) \quad \{\{QQQ\}\}$$

By uniform convergence of ψ_H^ε toward ψ and by the definition of φ_* , the function $\varphi^\varepsilon - \psi_H^\varepsilon$ has a local minimum located at a point $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d \times V$, satisfying $t^\varepsilon \rightarrow t^0$ and $x^\varepsilon \rightarrow x^0$. The extremal property of $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ implies that

$$\partial_t \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) = \partial_t \psi_H^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon), \quad \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) = \nabla_x \psi_H^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) = \Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_v \psi_H^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon).$$

Indeed, if $v^\varepsilon \in \overset{\circ}{V}$ or $\Gamma(v^\varepsilon) = 0$, then the result is trivial. If $v^\varepsilon \in \partial V$ and $\Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \neq 0$, since $\Gamma(v) \cdot d\vec{S}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in \partial V$, there exists $v_0 \in V$, $v_1 \in V$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_s^{v^\varepsilon} \in V, & \forall s \in [-\delta, \delta], \\ \phi_{-\delta}^{v^\varepsilon} = v_0, \\ \phi_\delta^{v^\varepsilon} = v_1. \end{cases}$$

The extremal property of $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ now implies that

$$\Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_v (\varphi^\varepsilon - \psi_H^\varepsilon)(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) = - \frac{d}{ds} (\varphi^\varepsilon - \psi_H^\varepsilon)(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, \phi_s^{v^\varepsilon}) \Big|_{s=0} = 0.$$

Finally, since V is a compact set, we know that there exists $v^* \in V$ and a subsequence of $(v^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, which we will not relabel, such that $v^\varepsilon \rightarrow v^*$.

At point $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi + v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_v \eta_H &= \partial_t \psi_H^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \psi_H^\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma(v^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \psi_H^\varepsilon \\ &\geq \partial_t \varphi^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon + \frac{\Gamma(v^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon \\ &= \frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)} \left(1 - \int_V \tilde{M}' e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi^{\varepsilon'}}{\varepsilon}} d\nu(v') \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27) \quad \{\text{innea}\}$$

By the minimal property of $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$, we can estimate the right-hand side of the last equation, such that

$$\partial_t \psi + v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \psi + \Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_v \eta_H \geq \frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)} \left(1 - \int_V \tilde{M}(v') e^{\eta_H(v^\varepsilon) - \eta_H(v')} d\nu(v') \right) \quad (3.28) \quad \{\text{inneqb}\}$$

$$\geq \frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)} \left(1 - e^{\eta_H(v^\varepsilon)} \right) \quad (3.29) \quad \{\text{inneqc}\}$$

$$= \frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{Q_{p^0, H}(v^\varepsilon)} \right),$$

so we have at point $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$,

$$Q_{p^0, H}(v^\varepsilon) \left(\frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)} - \partial_t \psi - v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \psi \right) + \Gamma(v^\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_v Q_{p^0, H}(v^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{M(v^\varepsilon)}{\tilde{M}(v^\varepsilon)}.$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get at point (t^0, x^0, v^*) ,

$$Q_{p^0, H}(v^*) \left(\frac{M(v^*)}{\tilde{M}(v^*)} - \partial_t \psi - v^* \cdot p^0 \right) + \Gamma(v^*) \cdot \nabla_v Q_{p^0, H}(v^*) \leq \frac{M(v^*)}{\tilde{M}(v^*)}. \quad (3.30) \quad \{\{\text{finQQ}\}\}$$

Combining (3.26) and (3.30) at $v = v^*$, we get

$$\partial_t \psi(t^0, x^0) + H \geq 0.$$

Since this is true for any $H > \mathcal{H}(p^0)$, we finally have

$$\partial_t \psi(t^0, x^0) + \mathcal{H}(p^0) \geq 0,$$

which proves (i).

(ii) Let ψ be a test function such that $\varphi^* - \psi$ has a global strict maximum at a point $(t^0, x^0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We still denote $p^0 = \nabla_x \psi(t^0, x^0)$.

First case: $p^0 \notin \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$

Then, from the very definition of $\text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$ (check Definition 1.1), there exists $H_0 < \mathcal{H}(p^0)$ such that, for all $H_0 < H < \mathcal{H}(p^0)$,

$$+\infty > \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p^0, H} d\nu(v') > \int_V \tilde{M}' Q'_{p^0, \mathcal{H}(p^0)} d\nu(v') = 1, \quad (3.31) \quad \{\{\text{thanksto}\}\}$$

using the same notation as earlier. We can then conclude using the same arguments as in the proof of (i). We emphasize that the Estimates (3.27) and (3.28) are reverted in this "maximum" case and that (3.29) is reverted thanks to (3.31).

Second case: $p^0 \in \text{Sing}(M, \Gamma)$

Thanks to Lemma 2.4, there exists $v_0 \in V$ such that $Q_{p, \mathcal{H}(p)}(v_0) = +\infty$ and that either v_0 is a fixed point of the flow of $-\Gamma$, *i.e.* $\Gamma(v_0) = 0$, or v_0 belongs to a periodic orbit of the flow.

Suppose that v_0 is a fixed point, then, after Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\frac{M(v_0)}{\tilde{M}(v_0)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - v_0 \cdot p = 0. \quad (3.32) \quad \{\{\text{fatal}\}\}$$

Moreover, the function $(t, x) \mapsto \varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, v_0) - \psi(t, x)$ has a local maximum at a point $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon)$ and, by definition of φ^* , we have $t^\varepsilon \rightarrow t^0$ and $x^\varepsilon \rightarrow x^0$. By the maximal property of $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon)$, we have at point $(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon) + v_0 \cdot \nabla_x \psi(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon) + 0 &= \partial_t \psi(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon) + v_0 \cdot \nabla_x \psi(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon) + \frac{\Gamma(v_0)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon(t^\varepsilon, x^\varepsilon, v_0) \\ &= \frac{M(v_0)}{\tilde{M}(v_0)} \int_V M' \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon - \varphi'^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \right) d\nu' \\ &\leq \frac{M(v_0)}{\tilde{M}(v_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and recalling, (3.32), we get

$$\partial_t \psi(t^0, x^0) + \mathcal{H}(\nabla_x \psi(t^0, x^0)) \leq 0,$$

which proves that φ^* is a viscosity subsolution of (1.16).

Suppose now that v_0 belongs to a periodic orbit. At point $(t, x, \phi_s^{v_0})$, we have

$$\partial_t \varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_s^{v_0}) + \phi_s^{v_0} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_s^{v_0}) + \frac{\Gamma(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_s^{v_0}) \leq \frac{M(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\tilde{M}(\phi_s^{v_0})}$$

Applying $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t (\cdot) ds$ to the latter expression gives

$$\partial_t \varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, w) + w \cdot \nabla_x \varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, w) \leq \frac{M(w)}{\tilde{M}(w)},$$

where $w(v_0)$ is the representative of the orbit defined by the mixing property (1.6). Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{\Gamma(\phi_s^{v_0})}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_s^{v_0}) ds = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\phi}_s^{v_0}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_s^{v_0}) ds = -\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_t^{v_0}) - \varphi^\varepsilon(\phi_0^{v_0})}{t\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

After Lemma 2.5, we know that $\frac{M(w)}{\tilde{M}(w)} + \mathcal{H}(p) - w \cdot p = 0$ so we can conclude as in the previous case by considering the function $(t, x) \mapsto \varphi^\varepsilon(t, x, w) - \psi(t, x)$. □

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We refer to Section 4.4.5 in [2] and Theorem B.1 in [17] for arguments giving strong uniqueness (which means that there exists a comparison principle for sub- and super-solution) of Equation (1.16) in the viscosity sense. We emphasize that the lipschitz-continuity proven in Proposition 2.10 is sufficient for these results. Thanks to Proposition 3.3, as φ^* and φ_* are respectively a sub- and a super-solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation (1.16), the comparison principle yields $\varphi^* \leq \varphi_*$. However, from their definitions, it is clear that $\varphi^* \geq \varphi_*$. Hence, the function $\varphi^0 := \varphi^* = \varphi_*$ is the viscosity solution of Equation (1.16) and $(\varphi^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ converges uniformly locally as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to φ^0 , which concludes the proof. □

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Julien Vovelle and Vincent Calvez for presenting this problem to him and for the many discussions that followed. The author would also like to thank Emeric Bouin and Serge Parmentier, who were very helpful. The author has also received help from Nicolas Champagnat and Jérôme Coville who, when reviewing the author's PhD thesis, gave important advice on the redaction of the proof. This work was performed during the author's PhD in Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1.

References

- [1] Wolfgang Alt. Biased random walk models for chemotaxis and related diffusion approximations. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 9(2):147–177, April 1980.
- [2] Guy Barles. *Solutions de viscosité des équations de Hamilton-Jacobi*. Number 17 in Mathématiques & applications. Springer-Verlag, Paris ; New York, 1994.
- [3] Guy Barles and Benoît Perthame. Exit Time Problems in Optimal Control and Vanishing Viscosity Method. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 26(5):1133–1148, September 1988.
- [4] Emeric Bouin. A Hamilton-Jacobi approach for front propagation in kinetic equations. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 8(2):255–280, March 2015.
- [5] Emeric Bouin and Nils Caillerie. Spreading in kinetic reaction-transport equations in higher velocity dimensions. *arXiv:1705.02191*, 2017.
- [6] Emeric Bouin and Vincent Calvez. A kinetic eikonal equation. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 350(5):243–248, March 2012.
- [7] Emeric Bouin, Vincent Calvez, Emmanuel Grenier, and Grégoire Nadin. Large deviations for velocity-jump processes and non-local Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *arXiv:1607.03676*, 2016.
- [8] Emeric Bouin, Vincent Calvez, Nicolas Meunier, Sepideh Mirrahimi, Benoît Perthame, Gaël Raoul, and Raphaël Voituriez. Invasion fronts with variable motility: Phenotype selection, spatial sorting and wave acceleration. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 350(15):761 – 766, 2012.
- [9] Emeric Bouin, Vincent Calvez, and Grégoire Nadin. Propagation in a Kinetic Reaction-Transport Equation: Travelling Waves And Accelerating Fronts. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 217(2):571–617, August 2015.
- [10] Emeric Bouin and Sepideh Mirrahimi. A Hamilton–Jacobi approach for a model of population structured by space and trait. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 13(6):1431–1452, 2015.
- [11] Nils Caillerie. Large deviations of a velocity jump process with a Hamilton–Jacobi approach. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 355(2):170–175, February 2017.
- [12] Nils Caillerie. *Stochastic and deterministic kinetic equations in the context of mathematics applied to biology*. PhD thesis, Université de Lyon, July 2017.
- [13] Vincent Calvez. Chemotactic waves of bacteria at the mesoscale. *arXiv:1607.00429*, July 2016.
- [14] Jérôme Coville. Singular measure as principal eigenfunction of some nonlocal operators. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 26(8):831–835, 2013.
- [15] Lawrence C. Evans. The perturbed test function method for viscosity solutions of nonlinear PDE. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 111(3-4):359–375, January 1989.

- [16] Lawrence C. Evans and Panagiotis E. Souganidis. *A PDE Approach to Geometric Optics for Certain Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems. Division of Appl. Math., Brown Univ., 1987.
- [17] Lawrence C. Evans and Panagiotis E. Souganidis. A PDE Approach to Geometric Optics for Certain Semilinear Parabolic Equations. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 38(1):141–172, 1989.
- [18] Mark I. Freidlin. Geometric Optics Approach to Reaction-Diffusion Equations. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 46(2):222–232, April 1986.
- [19] Sylvain Gandon and Sepideh Mirrahimi. A Hamilton–Jacobi method to describe the evolutionary equilibria in heterogeneous environments and with non-vanishing effects of mutations. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 355(2):155–160, February 2017.
- [20] Sepideh Mirrahimi and Benoît Perthame. Asymptotic analysis of a selection model with space. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 104(6):1108–1118, December 2015.
- [21] Sepideh Mirrahimi, Benoît Perthame, and Panagiotis E. Souganidis. Time fluctuations in a population model of adaptive dynamics. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis*, 32(1):41–58, January 2015.
- [22] Jonathan Saragosti, Vincent Calvez, Nikolaos Bournaveas, Benoît Perthame, Axel Buguin, and Pascal Silberzan. Directional persistence of chemotactic bacteria in a traveling concentration wave. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(39):16235–16240, September 2011.
- [23] Daniel W. Stroock. Some stochastic processes which arise from a model of the motion of a bacterium. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete*, 28(4):305–315, 1974.